(C)

Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

13th July 2010 2010 ജൂലൈ 13 22nd Ashadha 1932

1932 ആഷാഢം 22

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 844/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Guderale Estate, Munnar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The General Secretary, Workers Congress Union, Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

- 1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Anburaj, P. F. No. 5144, Worker, Aruvikad Central Division, Guderale Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 937/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Director, Soorya Samudra Holiday Resort Private Limited, No. 54, Richmond Road, Banglore-5600025, 2. The Chief Operating Officer, Soorya Samudra Holiday Resort Private Limited, No. 54, Richmond Road, Banglore-5600025 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Shri Kattakada Sasi, General Secretary, Thiruvananthapuram District Head Load and General Workers Union (CITU), Deshabhimani Road, Mele Thampanoor, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The Secretary, Thiruvananthapuram District Hotel and Restaurant

Employees Sena (BKS), Sena Bhavan, Vadakkenada, Fort P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to the employees of Hotel Soorya Samudra by the management is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs they are entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 939/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Moongalar Estate, Vandiperiyar, Peermade, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Peermade Thottam Thozhilali Union (CITU), Vandiperiyar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the denial of work to CR 4912, Smt. Anthoniamma by the management of Moongalar Estate, Vandiperiyar is justifiable?
- 2. If not what are the reliefs the above worker is entitled to ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 946/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Nullathanny Estate, KDHP Company, Munnar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Jayaraman, PF No. 9439, Worker, Centre Division, Periavurai, Nullathanni Estate, Periavurai Centre Division by the management is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not what relief he is entitled to ?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 950/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Factory Manager, M/s Rado Tyres Limited, Nellikuzhy P. O., Kothamangalam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. C. Joy, Kavumpurath House, Thrikkariyoor Post, Thankalam, Kothamangalam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the denial of employment of Shri K. C. Joy, Worker, M/s Rado Tyres Limited, Kothamangalam by the management is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 970/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Nullathanny Estate, K.D.H.P. Company Limited, Munnar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the dismissal of Shri Chelladurai, PF No. 8682, Worker, Lower Division, Periavurrai, Nullathanni Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not what relief he is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 991/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri M. I. Francis, Proprietor, M. I. Francis Furniture Company, Chovoor, Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala Marappani Thozhilali Union (TUCI), Chirakkadavil Building, Thayamkulangara, Cherppu P. O., Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri N. V. Ramakrishnan, Carpenter, M. I. Francis Furniture Company, Chovoor, Thrissur by the Proprietor, M. I. Francis Furniture Company, Thrissur is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 992/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri P. C. Velayudhan, Paingadan Chakkingal, Periyan Moochikkal, Karuvambram P. O., Manjeri, Malappuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Kesavan Nair, Kizhuveettil, Karikkad, Thrikkalangode P. O., Malappuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri K. Kesavan Nair, Salesman, Ayswarya Medicals, Elamkoor, Malappuram District by the Management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 993/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Superintendent, General Hospital Development Society, General Hospital, Thalassery and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Bhargavan, s/o Late Ananthan, Kuniyil House, Pinarayi Amsham Desam, Pinarayi P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri K. Bhargavan, Pharmacist by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 997/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Shri George Mathew, Managing Director, Glen Level Estate (P) Limited, Varayal P. O., Mananthavady, 2. Shri P. C. Ibrahim, Managing Director, Padinjare House, Kambalakkad P. O. and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Plantation Thozhilali Varga Union, Safa Building, Head Office, Thalappuzha, Thalappuzha P. O., Mananthavady, Wayanad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the issue of denial of employment by the Management to 9 workers as scheduled here represented by Plantation Thozhilali Varga Union of M/s. Glen Level Estate (P) Limited, Varayal P. O., Mananthavady is justifiable or not? If not what are the relief they are entitled to?

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of Employee	No. of years of service
1	Geetha	24 years
2	Ponnamma	22 ,,
3	Thankamony	20 "
4	Radha	20 "
5	Rukmini	18 "
6	Khadeeja	18 "
7	Sobha	18 "
8	Bindhu	10 "
9	Rendhina	10 "

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,

Under Secretary to Government.

Law Department Law (H)

NOTIFICATIONS

(1)

No. 6128/H4/2010/Law.

Thiruvananthapuram, 5th June 2010.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 3 and 5 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with sub-rule (4) of Rule 8 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, the Government of Kerala hereby re-appiont Sri J. Madhavan Pillai, Advocate, Lekshmi Bhavan, Puthiyavila P. O., (via.) Kayamkulam, Alappuzha District as Notary for a further period of five years with effect from 23-6-2010 in the area comprising Ranny Taluk in the Revenue District of Alappuzha with Register No. 25/97/ALPA.

(2)

No. 7477/H4/2010/Law.

Thiruvananthapuram, 5th June 2010.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 3 and 5 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with sub-rule (4) of Rule 8 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, the Government of Kerala hereby re-appiont Sri M. P. Balakrishna Pillai, Advocate, Kanapuzha House, Ranny P. O., Pathanamthitta-689 672 as Notary for a further period of five years with effect from 16-5-2010 in the area comprising Ranny Taluk in the Revenue District of Pathanamthitta with Register No. 3/2000/PTA.

(3)

No. 8919/H2/2010/Law.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th June 2010.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with Rule 8A of the Notaries Rules, 1956, the Government of Kerala hereby extend the area of Practice already assigned to Sri R. T. Devakumar, Advocate, 'Ajanta', Gandhinagar II Street, Thiruvananthapuram-14 as such in and throughout the Thiruvananthapuram Corporation area for five years with effect from 10-7-2006 vide Notification No. 13365/H4/2006/Law, dated 26-8-2006, to the whole Revenue District of Thiruvananthapuram w.e.f. 9-6-2010 to 9-7-2011 with Register No. 54/97/TVPM.

By order of the Governor,

K. Sasidharan Nair,

Law Secretary.